

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,987	10/31/2006	James Carlyon	1502-84PCTUSCIP	8863
55825 Tyco Healthca	7590 08/17/200 are Group LP	EXAMINER		
d/b/a Covidier	i .	PRICE, NATHAN R		
15 Hampshire Mansfield, Ma			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/585,987	CARLYON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NATHAN R. PRICE	3763		

	NATHAN R. PRICE	3763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this lication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: 10 bx 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TW					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fear have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for leave under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checket. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet.)	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1, 15, 28, and 27, Examiner maintains that Woehr discloses a second leg 74 (fig. 4A-B) having a bearing surface that engages the piercinember in both the first and second orientation (interior of aperture 76, shown engaged in both of fig. 4A-B) wherein the first leg and the second leg are biased for convergent movement. Examiner interprets' biased for convergent movement by troady as biased and capable of being convergent movement. As shown in fig. 4A-B, the legs are biased to move between a first and second orientation. Further, as shown in fig. 4A, the first leg is capable of being moved convergently toward the second leg. Finally, the first and second leg being "biased nor convergent movement" does not necessarily require that they be biased to move convergently "toward each other", but could include the elements being biased for convergent movement toward other elements.